Exam — Session 1

Duration: 2 h

Documents, cell phones, and calculators are not allowed The text contains 4 pages in total

1 The Blume–Capel model [~14 points]

The Blume–Capel model describes a magnetic material with some nonmagnetic vacancies. Let us consider a lattice [we denote by $N(\gg 1)$ the number of lattice sites and by z the number of nearest neighbors] of spins S_i that can take the values -1, 0 and +1. A spin 0 corresponds to a vacancy (nonmagnetic impurity or empty site) and spins +1 or -1 correspond to the two different orientations of the magnetic species. We assume that the Hamiltonian of the system in presence of an homogeneous magnetic field h is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = -J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} S_i S_j + \Delta \sum_{i=1}^N S_i^2 - h \sum_{i=1}^N S_i$$
(1.1)

where J > 0 is the exchange interaction and where Δ is a constant that can be either negative or positive. In the Hamiltonian above, $\langle i, j \rangle$ denotes a summation over nearest neighbors.

1.1 General discussion

- (a) Justify that $-\Delta$ is the energy of creation of a vacancy. In which case ($\Delta > 0$ or $\Delta < 0$) is it favorable to create a vacancy?
- (b) At T = 0 and h = 0, calculate the energy of the system in the three different states $\langle S_i \rangle = +1$, $\langle S_i \rangle = -1$, and $\langle S_i \rangle = 0$. Which state is selected at T = 0?
- (c) Which limit of Δ corresponds to the usual two-state Ising model? How would you call the $\Delta = 0$ model?

1.2 Mean-field approximation

We now aim at performing a mean-field approximation (MFA). We write $S_i = m + \delta S_i$, where $m = \langle S_i \rangle$ is the average magnetization.

- (a) Define the spin-spin correlation function C_{ij} . What is the value of C_{ij} in the MFA?
- (b) Show that within the MFA, it is possible to write the Hamiltonian (1.1) as

$$\mathcal{H} \simeq \frac{1}{2}NzJm^2 - (h + zJm)\sum_{i=1}^N S_i + \Delta \sum_{i=1}^N S_i^2.$$

- (c) Calculate the free energy F within the MFA.
- (d) Demonstrate that the average value $m = \langle S_i \rangle$ is given by the expression

$$m = -\frac{1}{N} \frac{\partial F}{\partial h}.$$

Deduce that, within the MFA, the magnetization obeys the self-consistent equation (SCE)

$$m = \frac{2\sinh\left(\beta[h+zJm]\right)}{\exp\left(\beta\Delta\right) + 2\cosh\left(\beta[h+zJm]\right)}$$

From now on, we consider the case of vanishing magnetic field, h = 0.

- (e) In the case $\Delta \rightarrow -\infty$, discuss the solutions of the SCE.
- (f) In the general case, show that m = 0 is a solution of the SCE.
- (g) We now aim at discussing graphically the solutions of the SCE. We define $t = k_{\rm B}T/zJ$ and $\delta = \Delta/zJ$.
 - (i) Express the SCE in term of the function

$$f(m) = \frac{2\sinh(m/t)}{\exp(\delta/t) + 2\cosh(m/t)}$$

- (ii) What is the value of f(0)?
- (iii) What are the limits of f(m) when $m \to \pm \infty$?
- (iv) Calculate

$$\left. \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}m} \right|_{m=0}$$

and discuss graphically the number of solutions to the SCE. Show that there is a critical reduced temperature t_c defined by the equation

$$t_{\rm c} = \frac{2}{2 + \exp\left(\delta/t_{\rm c}\right)}$$

(v) In figure 1 (colored lines) is plotted the function $g(t, \delta) = 2/[2 + \exp(\delta/t)]$ as a function of t for different values δ_i of δ . Which δ_i 's are positive and which of them are negative? Sort by ascending order the δ_i 's.



Figure 1: Colored lines: Plot of $g(t, \delta) = 2/[2 + \exp(\delta/t)]$ as a function of t for different values δ_i of δ . Black solid line: t.

- (vi) Plot the curve $g(t, \delta)$ for the value of δ corresponding to the Ising model and give the corresponding t_c .
- (vii) Using your previous discussion and question 1.1(b), sketch the general behavior of t_c as a function of δ .

2 Pauli paramagnetism of a two-dimensional electron gas [~6 points]

In this exercice, we wish to understand one of the magnetic properties of a noninteracting electron gas: the Pauli paramagnetism which is due to the alignment of the electronic magnetic moments with the applied magnetic field. The one-electron Hamiltonian describing this phenomenon is given by

$$H = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} - \mu_z B. \tag{2.1}$$

Here, **p** is the electron momentum, m its mass, $\mu_z = qS_z/m$ its magnetic moment, which is related to its spin S_z through the gyromagnetic factor $\gamma = q/m$, where q = -e (with $e = 1.6 \times 10^{-19}$ C the elementary charge). In what follows, we consider a homogeneous magnetic field B parallel to the z axis, and we assume that electrons are confined to a two-dimensional rectangular surface with area $\mathcal{A} = L_x L_y$, where L_x and L_y are the lateral dimensions of the electron gas in the x and y directions, respectively.

We recall that electrons are spin 1/2 particles, so that they obey the Fermi–Dirac statistics. The average occupancy of an energy state ϵ is then given by

$$f(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{\beta(\epsilon-\mu)}+1},\tag{2.2}$$

where $\beta = 1/k_{\rm B}T$, with T the temperature of the gas, and where $\mu = \mu(T)$ is the chemical potential.

2.1 Warm up

- (a) Plot the Fermi–Dirac distribution (2.2) for both T = 0 and $T \neq 0$.
- (b) How is defined the Fermi energy $\epsilon_{\rm F}$ in terms of μ ?
- (c) In absence of magnetic field, the Hamiltonian (2.1) reduces to

$$H = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m}.\tag{2.3}$$

Using periodic boundary conditions, one can easily show that the spectrum corresponding to the Hamiltonian (2.3) is given by $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \hbar^2 |\mathbf{k}|^2 / 2m$, where the wavevector $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y)$ is quantized according to $k_x = 2\pi n_x / L_x$ and $k_y = 2\pi n_y / L_y$, with n_x and n_y integer numbers. Show that the corresponding density of states (including the spin degeneracy) is energy independent and is given in the thermodynamic limit by

$$\rho_0 = \frac{m\mathcal{A}}{\pi\hbar^2}.\tag{2.4}$$

(d) Still in absence of a magnetic field, show that $\epsilon_{\rm F} = N/\rho_0$, where N is the total number of electrons in the two-dimensional gas.

2.2 Pauli paramagnetism

The energy spectrum corresponding to the Hamiltonian (2.1) is spin dependent, and given by

$$\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm} = \frac{\hbar^2 |\mathbf{k}|^2}{2m_*} \mp \epsilon_B,$$

where +(-) corresponds to a spin up (down) electron. Here, $\epsilon_B = \mu_B B$, with $\mu_B = \hbar q/2m$ the Bohr magneton.

(a) Show that the density of states of the two spin species is energy dependent and given by

$$\rho_{\pm}(\epsilon) = \frac{1}{2}\rho_0 \ \theta(\epsilon \pm \epsilon_B),$$

where $\theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function.

(b) Let us first assume that both the temperature T and the chemical potential μ are fixed. Show that the average number of spin up and spin down electrons, denoted by N_{\pm} , is given by

$$N_{\pm} = \frac{\rho_0}{2\beta} \ln\left(1 + \mathrm{e}^{\beta[\pm\epsilon_B + \mu]}\right).$$

- (c) Let us now consider that the total number of electrons $N = N_+ + N_-$ is fixed. Deduce from the preceding question a quadratic equation for the fugacity $z = e^{\beta\mu}$. Give the resulting expression of the chemical potential as a function of ϵ_F and ϵ_B . In particular, analyze the low temperature $(\beta\epsilon_F \gg 1)$ and low magnetic field $(\beta\epsilon_B \ll 1)$ limits.
- (d) The magnetization of the electron gas is given by $M = \mu_{\rm B}(N_+ N_-)/\mathcal{A}$, and the corresponding susceptibility is defined as

$$\chi_{\rm P} = \lim_{B \to 0} \frac{\partial M}{\partial B}$$

Calculate the Pauli susceptibility χ_P as a function of ρ_0 , \mathcal{A} , and μ_B in the degenerate limit $\beta \epsilon_F \gg 1$.